EXHIBIT K (CONT.)

1	MS. DURIE: (Nodding).
2	MS. THAYER: Okay.
3	BY THE WITNESS:
4	A. I don't know the number.
5	BY MS. DURIE:
6	Q. Would it be more than 20?
7	A. Probably.
8	Q. Approximately how many in-person meetings did
9	you have during that same time frame relating to the '361
10	patent?
11	A. Meetings with individual persons?
12	Q. Or groups of people.
13	MS. THAYER: And unlimited in time or
14	MS. DURIE: 1999.
15	MS. THAYER: Okay.
16	BY THE WITNESS:
17	A. Meetings between myself and a GoTo person?
18	BY MS. DURIE:
19	Q. In '99 about the '361 patent.
20	A. So, if I visited there and met with a number
21	of people during the visit, does that count as one
22	visit
23	Q. Yes.
24	A or multiple meetings?
	40

1	Q. That counts asthat counts as one visit.
2	A. One meeting generally.
3	Q. Yes.
4	A. Okay. I don't recall how many times.
5	Q. Can you give me your best approximation?
6	A. In 1999 I probably had less than five such
7	generic meetings or visits.
8	Q. We've discussed the first trip that you took
9	to Overture where you met with Mr. Metzger and Mr.
10	Brewer. And we placed that, I believe I don't intend
11	to characterize your testimony, but just to orient you, I
12	think we placed that in the first half of 1999.
13	Can you recall the next in-person meeting that
14	you had with anyone from Overture where you discussed any
15	issues related to the '361 patent, the application that
16	was then in process?
17	A. I can't specifically identify that next
18	meeting.
19	Q. Okay. Can you recall the names of any people
20	that you met with over the course of 1999 with whom you
21	discussed the '361 patent or the application that led up
22	to it?
23	A. No. The problem I have is, you know, I've had
24	meetings, I've met various people concerning this topic

1	over time. I can't segregate what was in '99 versus 2000
2	versus perhaps even 2001.
3	Q. Okay. Let's do it this way, then: Can you
4	identify for me all of the people from Overture with whom
5	you've met to discuss the '361 patent or the application
6	that gave rise to it?
7	A. At any time?
8	Q. At any time.
9	A. Okay. This is discussion or meeting?
10	Q. Let's say anything that's in person.
11	A. In person. And the topic again is
12	specifically what?
13	Q. '361 patent or the application that led up to
14	it or the prosecution.
15	A. Any time frame?
16	Q. Any time frame.
17	A. Even until today?
18	Q. Even until today.
19	A. Wow. Do you have an organizational chart of
20	Overture?
21	Q. It changes a lot.
22	A. Josh Metzger, Janine Hayes, Chris Higgins,
23	Lynn Loeb, Jeff Brewer, Ted Meisel, Todd Tappin, Tom
24	Lamb, Tom Soulanille, Darren Davis, Matthew Derer, Tod

	•
1	Kurt, probably Preston Pfarner and Steve Skovran, Jay
2	Gallinatti, Anthony Molinaro. I'm sure a host of others
3	whose names escape me at the moment.
4	Q. Okay. Can
5	MS. THAYER: Are we gonna take a place that we
6	could take a very brief break?
7	MS. DURIE: Yeah, sure.
8	MS. THAYER: Or we can go for a few more minutes. I
9	just
10	MS. DURIE: No, this is fine.
11	MS. THAYER: Okay.
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the video record at
13	9:37.
14	(Whereupon, a recess was had.)
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the video record at
16	9:49.
17	BY MS. DURIE:
18	Q. Mr. Naughton, you gave me a list of names of
19	people from Overture with whom you can remember having
20	conversations generally speaking about the '361 patent in
21	person. Are there additional people that you can
22	remember talking to over the telephone again about the
23	subject matter of the '361 patent?
24	A. I can't presently think of anybody else who is

1	not already on this list.
2	Q. Let's take these in order. You've already
3	mentioned an initial meeting that you had with Mr.
4	Metzger. Am I correct in understanding that Mr. Metzger
5	was in-house counsel for Overture for at least some
6	period of time?
7	A. I don't recall his exact title, but my
8	understanding is that he was an attorney, and I viewed
9	him in that capacity.
10	Q. Is Mr. Metzger still at Overture/Yahoo?
11	A. I don't think so.
12	Q. Okay. When was the last time that you had any
13	conversation with Mr. Metzger?
14	MS. THAYER: On any topic?
15	MS. DURIE: Yeah.
16	BY THE WITNESS:
17	A. Probably the second half of 2003.
18	BY MS. DURIE:
19	Q. Okay. On about how many different occasions
20	did you speak with Mr. Metzger regarding the '361 patent
21	or the application that gave rise to it?
22	A. Time frame?
23	Q. Any time.
24	A. Hundreds.

1	Q. Hundreds. Okay. Was Mr. Metzger the person
2	at Overture well, strike that.
3	Let me ask it this way: Who was your primary
4	contact at Overture, if you had one, for making strategic
5	decisions about the prosecution of the '361 patent?
6	A. I think it would it would be fair to say
7	that was Mr. Metzger.
8	Q. Okay. Did Mr. Metzger ever provide you any
9	substantive information about the features of any
10	Overture system that existed prior to May 28th, 1998?
11	MS. THAYER: You can answer that yes or no.
12	BY THE WITNESS:
13	A. Or I don't recall.
14	MS. THAYER: Or I don't recall.
15	BY MS. DURIE:
16	Q. Who is Chris Higgins?
17	A. Chris Higgins is an attorney currently at
18	Overture.
19	Q. Let me back up. Did Janine Hayes ever provide
20	you with any information about the features of any
21	Overture system prior to May 28th, 1998?
22	MS. THAYER: Same instruction.
23	BY THE WITNESS:
24	A. I don't recall specifically.
1	

1	BY MS. DUR	IE:
2	Q.	Okay. Did Chris Higgins ever provide you with
3	any inform	ation regarding the features of the Overture
4	system pri	or to May 1998?
5	Α.	Can you read that back, please?
6	Q.	I can just ask it again.
7	A.	Okay.
8	Q.	Did Chris Higgins ever provide you with any
9	informatio	n about the features of any Overture system
10	prior to M	ay 1998?
11	Α.	I don't believe so.
12	Q.	Who is Lynn Loeb?
13	A.	She is an in-house attorney at Overture.
14	Q.	Generally speaking do you have an
15	understand	ing as to what Mr. Higgins' job
16	responsibi	lities are?
17	Α.	As they pertain to interactions with me, I
18	understand	his job responsibilities to include managing
19	outside pa	tent counsel presently.
20	Q.	Okay. What about Lynn Loeb's job
21	responsibi	lities?
22	Α.	Currently?
23	Q.	Yes.
24	Α.	I guess I cannot articulate what her title or

1	job responsibilities are within the Legal Department.
2	Q. Okay. How many conversations, let's start
3	with in person, have you had with Jeff Brewer relating to
4	the '361 patent or the application that gave rise to it?
5	A. At least one.
6	Q. And the one was the first meeting that you can
7	recall having?
8	A. With him.
9	Q. So, is it fair to say that there's only you
10	can only specifically recall having one meeting with him
11	where the subject of the '361 patent or patent
12	application was discussed?
13	A. Where what was discussed?
14	Q. The '361 patent or patent application.
15	A. Or the general subject matter thereof?
16	Q. Yes.
17	A. That's all I can recall.
18	Q. On how many occasions can you recall meeting
19	with Ted Meisel?
20	A. For any purpose?
21	Q. Good point. On how many occasions can you
22	remember meeting with Ted Meisel to discuss generally
23	speaking the subject matter of the '361 patent or the
24	application that gave rise to it?